

Title of the Research Paper

¹Author 1 (First Name, Middle Initial, Last Name), ²Author 2 (First Name, Middle Initial, LastName), ³Author 3 (First Name, Middle Initial, Last Name), so on...

*¹Affiliation of
Author 1,
²Affiliation of
Author 2 So
on...*

(No need to have separate entries if the authors have the same affiliation)

Email Address of the Corresponding Author/s

Abstract

The abstract should be one paragraph of about **250 words**. It should have the following structure: an opening sentence that sets the question/problem the study addresses, comprehensible to the general reader, research objectives/questions specific to the study, methodology, results, a concluding sentence, and recommendations for future research. It should only be one paragraph. Use MS Word, Times New Roman typeface, 12-point font size, and single space. Leave one space after each period. The abstract should be **justified**.

Keywords: *Three to five keywords only, separated by commas, italicized, and in lowercase letters unless they are initials or proper nouns. The word “keyword” is in bold and in sentence case.*

Place the discussions for the introduction, background of the study, and research objectives/questions in the first section of the paper. No need for an “introduction” heading. There is no need to have a separate section for the Review of Literature-- include results of related studies within the introduction as part of the background of the study.

The manuscript follows the **IMRaD format** (Introduction, Methodology, Results and Discussion). Please submit **a maximum of 15-page manuscript** including tables, figures, and references. Use letter size page (8.5 x 11 inches), portrait mode. The body of the paper (paragraphs and reference entries) must be **left aligned**. All paragraphs are single-spaced, 12-pt Times New Roman, and font color is black. The margins are one inch on all sides.

Methodology

All descriptions of materials and methods should be discussed here. It should be broken down into sections, each with a short subheading.

Research Design

Describe the research design used in your study and explain how the design fits the study.

Population and Sampling Techniques

Place here the specific population and the number of samples involved in your study. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria. You should also include the sampling technique used and the inclusion criteria in choosing the respondents/participants. For qualitative design, change the heading to “Selection of Participants” and describe how the participants were purposefully selected. In either research design, describe the profile of study participants.

Instrumentation (Materials instead of instrumentation for experimental research)

Include here the research tools used to gather information. Describe any pre-validated instruments used. Describe any self-constructed instruments and report pilot study activities. In either instances, report reliability test results.

For qualitative design, present other data collection tools such as the interview guide (tabulated) that are based on the research questions. For systematic review studies, present the protocol for identifying, selecting, including, and excluding cases. For experimental studies in the natural sciences, describe the instruments and procedures used.

Data Gathering Procedures

Describe in this section how the research tools were utilized to gather data, as well as the preliminary activities leading to it. For example, discuss how permission was obtained from gatekeepers, how and where surveys were conducted, etc. For qualitative studies using interviews, describe how, when, and where interviews were conducted, how recordings were made.

Data Analysis

Discuss briefly how the gathered data were analyzed, what statistical analysis/process was employed on which variables, etc. For qualitative studies, describe which data analysis framework was used and how the process was implemented. Also, for qualitative studies, discuss how validity was ensured when gathering data. Frameworks such as the trustworthiness and authenticity criteria may be adopted.

Ethical Considerations

Discuss here how the researchers conducted their research ethically. Discuss the elements of ethical consideration such as voluntary participation, informed consent/assent, right to withdraw without adverse consequences, and confidentiality with the data obtained. Describe how the study underwent an ethical review by proper institutional review boards.

Results

Present and summarize only the significant findings of your study here. Interpret numerical results into statements. Present your discussions chronologically as your

research questions/objectives. Headings also follow levels as per APA 7th edition. If needed, include a paragraph outlining the limitations of your results. Tables, if any, should be presented using the 7th edition of the APA format, in **editable form**, and **not in image form** (create tables in Microsoft Word). All discussions pertaining to the table should come before the table, not after. Cite your sources using the 7th edition of the APA guidelines.

Discussion

The discussion elaborates the implications of all the results presented in the previous section (What do the results mean in the larger sense?). Do not repeat the results presented earlier.

The last portion of this section should include your summarized conclusions (not the same as the discussion of the results), and recommendations for further study.

For Reference page, follow these guidelines:

- On first line of page, bold and center
- First item on the next line with no blank space in-between
- Entire list is single-spaced using hanging indent but double-spaced in-between reference entries.
- Alphabetical arrangement
- Include digital object identifier (doi) beginning with <https://>
- (no "Retrieved from")
- No more city before publisher
- Study the following examples.

References

Blomeke, S., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., Kuhn, C., & Fege, J. (Eds.). (2013). *Modeling and measuring competencies in higher education*. Sense Publishers.

Creswel, J. W. (2012). *Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Pearson.

Dela Cruz, V., Khandelwal, A., & Weitzman, A. (2020, June 1). *Please, let students turn their videos off in class*. The Stanford Daily. <https://www.stanforddaily.com/2020/06/01/please-let-students-turn-their-videos-off-in-class/>

Estancio, M. (2020, May 13). Should showing faces be mandatory? *Inside Higher Ed*. <https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/confessions-community-college-dean/should-showing-faces-be-mandatory>

Fadriquela, A., Berchick, E. R., Tenhave, T., Halberstadt, S., Brown, G. K., & Beck, A. T. (2011). Predictors of suicide relative to other deaths in patients with suicide attempts and suicide ideation: A 30-year prospective study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 132, 375-382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.03.006>

Garino, T., & Horst, N. (2013). Exploring the ambiguity: What faculty leaders really think of sustainability in higher education. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 14(2), 209-227. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14676371311312905>

Hwang, M. (2020, May 13). Should showing faces be mandatory? *Inside Higher Ed*. <https://www.insidehighered.com/blogs/confessions-community-college-dean/should-showing-faces-be-mandatory>

Misako, A., Berchick, E. R., Tenhave, T., Halberstadt, S., Brown, G. K., & Beck, A. T. (2011). Predictors of suicide relative to other deaths in patients with suicide attempts and suicide ideation: A 30-year prospective study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 132, 375-382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.03.006>

WHO Philippines. (2020) *COVID-19 in the Philippines Situation Report 53*. World Health Organization. <https://www.who.int/philippines/internal-publications-detail/covid-19-in-the-philippines-situation-report-53>

Examples:

• Edited book (4 authors)

• book with specific edition.

• A webpage (no-print source)

• Newspaper or magazine article

• journal with 3 to 20 authors

• journal with 2 authors

• A report as a source

• Journal article titles are in sentence case and normal. Journal names are in italics.

• Book titles are in sentence case and in italics.

White, T., & Horst, N. (2013). Exploring the ambiguity: What faculty leaders really think of sustainability in higher education. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 14(2), 209-227. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14676371311312905>

Womeke, S., Zlatkin-Troitschanskaia, O., Kuhn, C., & Fege, J. (Eds.). (2013). *Modeling and measuring competencies in higher education*. Sense Publishers.

- Do not split a reference in-between pages.
- Ensure that all entries in the Reference are cited in the IMRaD version of the paper.

For further guidance on APA style, please visit this link:

7th edition of the APA style: <https://www.scribbr.com/apa-style/apa-seventh-edition-changes/?fbclid=IwAR2i5dcX6Wkncg9OIW5yN9urobBNJe0Ij2QzyqDfYeze5rmIfzXS331S6PY>

Sample IMRaD Paper

Stress Management, Spiritual Growth, and Workplace Well-Being Among the Employees of a Selected University in the Philippines

^{1*}Juan dela Cruz, ²Pedro dela Cruz, and ³Jose dela Cruz

^{1,2}ABC College

³DEF College

author.email@gmail.com

Abstract

The well-being of employees is key to determining an organization's long-term effectiveness. Productivity levels are directly linked with general health and well-being of the workforce. Employees' well-being, or the lack thereof, is critical in the organization. It is therefore important to periodically assess employees' well-being. This study aimed to determine the level of the employees' workplace well-being and to assess whether stress management and spiritual growth relate to the well-being of the employees. Using descriptive correlational design and random sampling, 164 university employees participated in a survey using Google Forms. Anchored on the five components of well-being, namely positive emotions, engagement, relationships, meaning, and accomplishment, a 23-item Workplace Well-being Scale, using a 10-point Likert-type response with eight dimensions, was adopted in this study. Findings show that employees are very good at stress management ($M = 4.09 \pm 0.62$), with a high level of spiritual growth ($M = 4.14 \pm 0.58$) and a high level of workplace well-being ($M = 7.78 \pm 0.93$). Regression analysis shows that stress management ($\beta = .393$, $t = 5.466$, $p < .001$) and spiritual growth ($\beta = .286$, $t = 3.984$, $p < .001$) are significant positive predictors of workplace well-being, accounting for 33.8% (r^2 change = .338, $p = < .001$) of the variance. Results are useful towards efforts to further enhance workplace well-being that foster good relationships and engagement as well as stress reduction techniques. Further studies may explore workplace experiences, challenges, and coping strategies to better understand workplace well-being.

Keywords: *mental health, spiritual growth, emotional well-being, stress management*

The COVID-19 pandemic has caused unprecedented challenges not only in terms of health and morbidity but also in other areas such as economics, industry, and education. In particular, the landscapes of organizational and educational processes have been largely disrupted because of lockdown procedures, travel restrictions, and controlled face-to-face transactions, which forced organizations to adopt short-time work and wage subsidy schemes to minimize job losses (ilo.org, 2021).

The education sector is facing the challenges of the pandemic both as an organization and an educational institution. As an educational institution, teachers and students alike had difficulty adapting to the online setup, which affected students' motivation and teachers' productivity (Ogunode, 2020; Toquero, 2020), among other personal and social issues. As an organization, employees of an educational institution are confronted with issues related to job security, the relevance of skills in the new normal, and personal health and safety. Studies that pertain to the effects of the pandemic in an educational institution focused mainly on students'

learning and well-being, but current literature is dearth when it comes to the health and safety needs of school employees.

Worldwide, government and private organizations have begun to recognize the need to take workplace well-being seriously, according to Giorgi et al. (2021). Workplace well-being relates to all aspects of working life, not only to the quality and safety of the physical environment but also to workers' morale, engagement, and the social climate of the organization (Workplace well-being, 2021). Studies show that the well-being of employees is a key factor in determining an organization's long-term effectiveness. There is a direct link between productivity levels and the general health and well-being of the workforce (Haddon, 2018; Nielsen et al., 2017). Further, employees' well-being, or the lack of it, can play a critical role in the life of organizations. It may influence rates of absenteeism, fluctuation, workplace conflict, and cooperation, as well as personal performance (Fisher, 2010).

Studies on well-being have found the tenets of positive psychology a salient framework. The central objective of positive psychology is to facilitate happiness and subjective well-being and to promote scientific inquiry that focuses on the aspects of the human condition that lead to happiness, fulfillment, and flourishing. According to the father of positive psychology, Martin Seligman (2011), there are five components of well-being, namely positive emotions, engagement, relationships, meaning, and accomplishment (PERMA). It is important that these components are enhanced to achieve a high level of well-being (Forgeard et al., 2011).

Realizing the importance of maintaining workplace well-being to achieve positive results in an organization, it is therefore important to periodically assess employees' well-being. This assessment will not only provide information about the healthy functioning of employees but can also determine the increasing level of performance, or even tension, that would indicate the necessity of organizational transformation. Hence, the main thrust of this study was primarily to determine the level of the employees' workplace well-being and to assess whether stress management and spiritual growth relate to the well-being of the employees.

Methodology

Research Design

This study utilized a descriptive-correlational design. This design was used for the study because the aim was to describe the characteristics of the population according to the variables. Using the survey method, data were gathered and analyzed quantitatively for frequencies, averages, and other statistical measures to determine relationships (McCombes, 2020).

Population and Sampling Technique

The population of the study was composed of regular employees of a selected university. Using purposive sampling, 164 samples participated in the study, of which 61 were male and 103 were female. In terms of the respondents' employment category, 70 are teaching faculty, 58 are non-teaching staff, 28 are administrators and middle managers, and eight have dual positions. In terms of age, most of the respondents ($n=92$; 56.1%) belong to the age bracket 41-56 years old, while 44 employees (26.8%) are within the age bracket 25-40 years old, and the least number of respondents in terms of age is within 57-75 years old ($n=28$; 17.1%).

Instrumentation

An online survey questionnaire using Google Forms was used to gather data. There were three parts to the questionnaire. First is the respondent's profile, which includes age, sex, civil status, employment category, work assignment, and education. The second part is a 5-point scale to measure stress management and spiritual growth, which was adapted from the Lifestyle Profile II by Walker et al. (1995). The last part is the 23-item Workplace Well-being Scale using a 10-point Likert response scale with eight dimensions, namely: positive emotion, engagement, relationships, meaning, accomplishment, negative emotion, health, and happiness.

The internal consistency of the questionnaire is shown in Table 1. According to Polit and Beck (2012), for group-level comparisons, coefficients in the vicinity of .70 are adequate, and .80 or greater are highly desirable.

Table 1
Reliability Statistics of the Scales Used

	Cronbach's Alpha	Number of Items
1. Workplace Well-being Scale	.94	23
Positive Emotion	.90	3
Engagement	.65	3
Relationships	.87	3
Meaning	.92	3
Accomplishment	.87	3
Negative Emotion	.81	3
Health	.91	3
Happiness	.68	2
2. Stress Management	.77	4
3. Spiritual Growth	.89	9

Data Gathering Procedures

As the respondents for the study were identified, permission was obtained from the human resources office of the university. After approval, the link to the Google Form containing the questionnaire was given to the human resources office who forwarded the same to the employees. Responses were received via Google Form's results page which were then saved in a spreadsheet.

Analysis of Data

Data was analyzed using frequency and percentage for the demographic data. The employees' level of stress management, spiritual growth, and workplace well-being were assessed using mean and standard deviation. Relationships between variables were tested using Pearson's *r* and linear regression.

Ethical Considerations

Prior to the administration of the questionnaire, an application was submitted to the Ethics Board of the University, and approval was obtained. Consent was secured for voluntary

participation before the respondents answered the research questions via Google form. Email addresses were not collected, and responses were not associated with their identity. All information was handled with confidentiality.

Results

Health-promoting Behavior on Stress Management

Table 2 shows the perceived health-promoting behavior on stress management among the respondents. The result shows that the respondents have *very good* practices in stress management ($M = 4.09 \pm 0.62$).

Table 2
Mean and Standard Deviation of Stress Management

Note: (Left) Table label is in **Bold** and flushed left; Table title is in *Italics* and Title Case. (Below) Table headings are not in bold. Headings are in singular form.

	Mean	SD	Interpretation
I have a positive outlook in life even during challenging times.	4.18	.80	<i>Very Good</i>
I accept those things in my life which I cannot change.	4.15	.75	<i>Very Good</i>
I adapt well to changes in life.	4.14	.77	<i>Very Good</i>
I take some time for relaxation each day.	3.88	.92	<i>Very Good</i>
Overall Mean	4.09	.62	<i>Very Good</i>

Legend: *Very Poor* =1.00-1.49; *Poor* =1.50-2.49; *Good*=2.50-3.49; *Very Good* =3.50-4.49; *Excellent* =4.50-5.00

Stress management refers to cognitive and behavioral techniques used to control the effects of stress (Robinson et al., 2020). The result implies that the employees can appropriately use techniques that alleviate stress symptoms. Employees mostly use cognitive techniques such as having a positive outlook, and acceptance, but finding the time to relax is something that they least likely use. In different studies concerning the health-promoting lifestyle of college students, stress management also appeared to be consistently high (Yang et al., 2017; Abbasi et al., 2020).

Health-promoting Behavior on Spiritual Growth

Table 3 shows the perceived health-promoting behavior on spiritual growth among the participants. The respondents have *high* practices on spiritual growth ($M = 4.14 \pm 0.58$).

Table 3
Mean and Standard Deviation of Spiritual Growth

	Mean	SD	Interpretation
I believe that my life has a purpose.	4.74	.54	<i>Very High</i>
I am growing and changing in positive ways.	4.43	.68	<i>High</i>
I have a close relationship with God.	4.40	.66	<i>High</i>
I spend meaningful time with God through prayers.	4.35	.71	<i>High</i>
I spend personal time with God by reading the Bible.	4.04	.85	<i>High</i>
When the Bible exposes an area of my life needing change, I respond to make things right.	4.03	.74	<i>High</i>
I spend time in fellowship with other members of the church.	3.88	.97	<i>High</i>
I share my faith and the truth I received with others.	3.79	.96	<i>High</i>

	Mean	SD	Interpretation
I read the writings of Ellen G. White books.	3.48	.99	High
Overall Mean	4.14	.58	High

Legend: *Very Low* =1.00-1.49; *Low* =1.50-2.49; *Satisfactory*=2.50-3.49; *High* =3.50-4.49; *Very High* =4.50-5.00

Spiritual growth includes beliefs about God and practices such as prayer, Bible study, fellowship, and evangelism, which are believed to contribute largely to self-actualization and fulfillment in life. Generally, the result implies that employees have a strong belief in God and that they practice ways to have a deeper and closer relationship with Him. The selected locale is a Christian-sectarian institution, and the adoption of religious-spiritual practices is evident in their lifestyle. It is, however worth noting that employees' spiritual growth is mostly focused on private practices such as prayer and personal meditation and Bible study but not much on public practices that pertain to fellowship and evangelism.

Workplace Well-being

Table 4 shows the workplace well-being on positive emotion, engagement, relationships, meaning, and achievement. To confirm the results of the PERMA, the questionnaire also includes the presence of negative emotions and health status as variables.

Table 4
Workplace Well-being and its Dimensions

	Mean	SD	Interpretation
Meaning	8.50	1.17	<i>Very High</i>
Accomplishment	8.38	.92	<i>Very High</i>
Positive Emotion	8.08	1.17	<i>High</i>
Relationships	8.02	1.33	<i>High</i>
Engagement	7.85	1.20	<i>High</i>
Happiness	7.49	1.72	<i>High</i>
Health	7.44	1.27	<i>High</i>
Negative Emotion	6.40	1.78	<i>Average</i>
Workplace Well-being	7.78	.93	<i>High</i>

Legend: *Exceptionally low* = 1-1.49 ; *Extremely Low* = 1.50-2.49; *Very Low* = 2.50-3.49; *Low* = 3.50-4.49; *Below Average*= 4.50-5.49; *Average* = 5.50-6.49; *Above Average* = 6.50-7.49; *High* = 7.50-8.49; *Very High* = 8.50-9.49; *Extremely High* = 9.50-10.

The result indicates that employees perceive a *high* ($M = 7.78 \pm 0.93$) level of workplace well-being. This means that employees are generally happy, functioning, and flourishing in their work. Flourishing pertains to a state of good mental and physical health and being free from illness and distress as well as being filled with vitality and functioning well in one's personal and social life (APA Dictionary of Psychology, 2021).

Since the proponents of the model posit that the PERMA are the building blocks in which well-being is built, the result also implies that the employees enhance these five areas. Particularly, the highest of the five areas is on meaning ($M = 8.50 \pm 1.17$) and accomplishment ($M = 8.38 \pm 0.92$). This means that employees find their work purposeful and meaningful. They believe that the work that they do brings more than just career or economic

gains but a greater purpose. They are also able to handle work-related responsibilities and believe that they can accomplish work-related goals. Among the five dimensions, engagement has the lowest mean ($M = 7.25 \pm 1.20$) although it is still interpreted as *high*. This denotes that the employees find it relatively less likely to be absorbed in what they do to a point of losing track of time.

Confirmatory variables in the questionnaire also yielded *high* scores on happiness ($M = 7.49 \pm 1.72$), and health ($M = 7.44 \pm 1.27$) signifying that they are happy and satisfied with their current health status. The lowest mean of negative emotion ($M = 6.40 \pm 1.78$) indicates that they are less likely to be sad, angry, or anxious, but it is worth stating that among these three negative emotions, the most pronounced is the feeling of anger.

Relationship of Stress Management with Other Variables

Table 5 presents the correlation analysis of stress management, spiritual growth, and workplace well-being. The result shows that all three variables are significantly correlated. Workplace well-being has a positive moderate relationship with stress management ($r = .522$), and spiritual growth ($r = .464$). Employees who perceive themselves as having high well-being, also could manage their stress and adopt practices that make them grow spiritually. Consequently, stress management and spiritual growth are also positively correlated ($r = .452$) which indicates that the more employees practice a lifestyle that would make them grow spiritually, the more they also tend to adopt positive ways of controlling their stresses.

Table 5

Correlation Between Stress Management, Spiritual Growth, and Workplace Well-being

		Stress Management	Spiritual Growth	Workplace Well-being
Stress Management	Pearson Correlation	1	.452**	.522**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
	N	164	164	164
Spiritual Growth	Pearson Correlation	.452**	1	.464**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	N	164	164	164
Workplace Well-being	Pearson Correlation	.522**	.464**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	N	164	164	164

Legend: ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

+1 Perfect Relationship, +0.80-+0.99 Very Strong Relationship, +0.60-+0.79 Strong Relationship, +0.40-+0.59 Moderate Relationship, +0.20-+0.39 Weak Relationship, +0.01-+0.19 Very Weak Relationship, 0 No Relationship

Tables 6 and 7 present the linear regression model summary and coefficients of the predictors of workplace well-being. The analysis was conducted to determine if stress management and spiritual growth can influence the respondents' likelihood to experience workplace well-being.

Results showed that 33.8% (r^2 change = .338, $p = <.001$) of the variance in workplace well-being can be accounted for by the two predictors, collectively. The overall regression model was *significant*, $F(2, 161) = 41.122$, $p < .001$, with an R square of .338.

Table 6

Model Summary Regression of the Predictors of Workplace Well-being

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	R Square Change	F Change	Sig. F Change
1	.581 ^a	.338	.330	.76409	.338	41.122	<.001

Table 7

Coefficients of Predictors of Workplace Well-being

Model		Unstandardized Coefficient		Standardized Coefficient	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
		<i>B</i>	<i>SE</i>	β		
1	(Constant)	3.463	.486		7.123	<.001
	Stress Management	.591	.108	.393	5.466	<.001
	Spiritual Growth	.460	.116	.286	3.984	<.001

a. Dependent Variable: Workplace Well-being

In the final model, the two independent variables such as stress management ($\beta = .393$, $t = 5.466$, $p < .001$) and spiritual growth ($\beta = .286$, $t = 3.984$, $p < .001$) are statistically significant and positive predictors of workplace well-being. The final predictive equation would be workplace well-being = $3.463 + .591$ (stress management) + $.460$ (spiritual growth). The overall contribution of these two variables to workplace well-being is 33.8% which means that the 66.2% predictor remains unknown.

Findings suggest that stress management and spiritual growth positively predict workplace well-being. This result confirms previous studies on the impact of spirituality and stress management on workplace well-being. On spirituality or spiritual growth, studies conclude that it plays a significant role in creating an encouraging work climate which gives employees a greater sense of purpose and motivates them to achieve and become productive hence improving their overall performance and health (Garg, 2017; Liang et al., 2017).

This result confirms previous studies on the impact of spirituality and stress management on workplace well-being. On spirituality or spiritual growth, studies conclude that it plays a significant role in creating an encouraging work climate which gives employees a greater sense of purpose and motivates them to achieve and become productive hence improving their overall performance and health (Garg, 2017; Liang et al., 2017). Moreover, studies also show that stress reduction programs are effective measures to improve employees' well-being and increase their level of efficiency (Patro & Kunar, 2019; Holman et al., 2018).

Discussion

The pandemic has affected not only the physical health but also the psychological well-being of every individual. Those who are in the working class may experience distress that can affect their work efficiency, productivity, and overall health. This study investigates workplace well-being, as well as health-promoting lifestyles such as stress management and spiritual growth of employees in a university in the Philippines. This study finds that employees have very good stress management skills, a high level of spiritual growth, and a high level of workplace well-being.

In a private sectarian university where faith and service are promoted, it is evident that employees adhere to the Christian lifestyle that the institution requires of its workers not only in terms of religious practices, but also in certain beliefs about life, God, circumstances, and ministry which consequently yielded positive effects to their coping and well-being. The result also shows that employees mainly use cognitive strategies for stress management and spiritual growth but fall short of applying behavioral techniques such as relaxation in coping and practices of spiritual growth such as fellowshiping and evangelism.

This study recommends that to further enhance workplace well-being, the university should devise systems that foster good relationships and engagement, as well as programs for stress reduction that are behavioral in nature such as relaxation and other mindfulness activities.

References

- Abbasi, S., Kamali, K., Sepehrinia, M., & Mehdizade, S. (2020). The relationship between self-efficacy and health-promoting lifestyle in students. *Journal of Holistic Nursing and Midwifery*, 30(3), 159-165.
- Adeolu, A.T., & Enesi, D.O. (2014). Assessment of secondary school students' knowledge, attitude and practice towards waste management in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. *J. Res. Environ. Sci. Toxicol.* 3, 66–73.
- Dictionary.apa.org. 2021. APA dictionary of psychology. [online] Available at: <<https://dictionary.apa.org/flourishing>>.
- Fisher, C. D. (2010). Happiness at work. *International Journal of Management Review*, 12(4), 384-412.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00270.x>
- Forgeard, M. J. C., Jayawickreme, E., Kern, M. L., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). Doing the right thing: Measuring wellbeing for public policy. *International Journal of Wellbeing*. 1(1), 79-106
- Garg, N. (2017). Workplace spirituality and employee well-being: An empirical exploration. *Journal of Human Values*, 23(2), 129-147.
- Giorgi, G., Lecca, L. I., Alessio, F., Finstad, G. L., Bondanini, G., Lulli, L. G., ... & Mucci, N. (2020). COVID-19-related mental health effects in the workplace: A narrative review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 7857.
- Giovannella, C., Marcello, P., & Donatella, P. (2020). The effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on Italian learning ecosystems: The school teachers' perspective at the steady state.
- Haddon, J. (2018). The impact of employees' well-being on performance in the workplace. *Strategic HR Review*.
- Holman, D., Johnson, S., & O'Connor, E. (2018). Stress management interventions: Improving

subjective psychological well-being in the workplace. In *Handbook of well-being*. DEF Publishers.

Ilo.org. 2021. [online] Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---cabinet/documents/publication/wcms_756331.pdf>.

Ilo.org. 2021. Workplace well-being. [online] Available at: <https://www.ilo.org/safework/areasofwork/workplace-health-promotion-and-well-being/WCMS_118396/lang--en/index.htm>.

Liang, J. L., Peng, L. X., Zhao, S. J., & Wu, H. T. (2017). Relationship among workplace spirituality, meaning in life, and psychological well-being of teachers. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 5(6), 1008-1013.

McCombes, S. (2020). *Descriptive research*. <https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/descriptive-research/>

Nielsen, K., Nielsen, M. B., Ogbonnaya, C., K ns l , M., Saari, E., & Isaksson, K. (2017). Workplace resources to improve both employee well-being and performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Work & Stress*, 31(2), 101-120.

Ogunode, N. J. (2020). Effects of COVID-19 schools close down on academic programme of senior secondary schools in Abaji Area Council of Federal Capital Territory Abuja, Nigeria. *Electronic Research Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 2, 84-94.

Patro, C. S., & Kumar, K. S. (2019). Effect of workplace stress management strategies on employees' efficiency. *International Journal of Scientific Development and Research*, 4(5), 412-418.

Polit, D. & Beck, C. (2012). *Nursing research: Generating and assessing evidence for nursing practice* (9th ed.). Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

Seligman, M. (2011). *Flourish: A visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press, New York. 2011

Toquero, C. M. (2020). Challenges and opportunities for higher education amid the COVID-19 pandemic: The Philippine context. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4).

Yang, S. C., Luo, Y. F., & Chiang, C. H. (2017). The associations among individual factors, eHealth literacy, and health-promoting lifestyles among college students. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 19(1), e15.